# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0115-05

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SCS for SB 57

Subject: County Officials; Courts; Guardians

Type: Original

Date: May 24, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal requires courts to transfer certain cases upon the request of

the public administrator.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0115-05

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SCS for SB 57

Page 2 of 4 May 24, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SCS for SB 57

Page 3 of 4 May 24, 2011

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** assume the proposal would not create a fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact the courts.

In response to a similar proposal from 2010 (HB 1676), officials from **Cass County** assumed the proposal would not result in a fiscal impact.

In response to another version of this proposal, officials from **Platte County** stated the proposal would not create a fiscal impact and may actually save the receiving county money.

In response to a similar proposal from 2010 (HB 1676), officials from the **St. Louis County Public Administrator's Office** stated the proposal would not have much effect on existing practice.

In response to a similar proposal from 2010 (HB 1676), officials from **Jackson County** stated the proposal would cost the county \$250,000 due to transporting wards via sheriff's vehicles, increased manpower hours, and vehicle maintenance and fuel.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal to be permissive and will not reflect a direct fiscal impact as a result of this proposal.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014

L.R. No. 0115-05

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SCS for SB 57

Page 4 of 4 May 24, 2011

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the Secretary of State
Cass County
St. Louis County Public Administrator's Office
Jackson County
Platte County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director May 24, 2011